

**LODI CITY COUNCIL
ADJOURNED REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING
CARNEGIE FORUM, 305 WEST PINE STREET
TUESDAY, MAY 26, 1998
7:07 A.M.**

1. ROLL CALL

Present: Council Members - Johnson, Land, Pennino and Sieglock (Mayor)

Absent: Council Members - Mann

Also Present: City Manager Flynn, Deputy City Manager Keeter, Public Works Director Prima,
Finance Director McAthie, City Attorney Hays and City Clerk Reimche

2. REGULAR CALENDAR

Agenda item entitled, "CALFED Bay-Delta Program Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement / Environmental Report" was introduced by staff.

Addressing the City Council regarding this matter was John Pulver, San Joaquin Public Works Director and Water Coordinator. Mr. Pulver discussed the contents of a draft resolution which is going to be considered by the San Joaquin County Board of Supervisors which reads as follows:

"RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR THE ADOPTION OF THE CALFED BAY/DELTA PROGRAM DRAFT PROGRAMMATIC EIS/EIR POSITION AND AUTHORIZING THE CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD TO PRESENT THE POSITION AT A CALFED PUBLIC HEARING AND AS A WRITTEN COMMENT

"WHEREAS, this Board is concerned with the water supply and water quality needs of all of San Joaquin County; and

"WHEREAS, the CALFED Bay/Delta Program has prepared a Draft Programmatic EIS/EIR; and

"WHEREAS, the alternative programs discussed in the Draft EIS/EIR will have significant impacts on San Joaquin County; and

"WHEREAS, this Board believes the Draft EIS/EIR is inadequate and incomplete for various reasons;

"NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that this Board directs that a statement be presented raising the issues of concern to this Board by a member of this Board at the CALFED hearing scheduled to be held in Stockton on May 27, 1998, and that complete comments be filed on behalf of San Joaquin County, with respect to the Draft EIS/EIR prior to the deadline for those comments which is July 1, 1998. The oral and written comments should cover the following points which are of concern to this Board:

- a) The Draft EIS/EIR fails to adequately explore surface water storage alternatives. It is the belief of this Board that there is not sufficient water to meet the expanding urban and agricultural water needs of the State during the planning period considered in the Draft EIS/EIR.*
- b) The Draft EIS/EIR fails to consider the economic impacts of the CALFED proposals. Among other things, the impacts of not meeting the needs of Eastern San Joaquin County for water, and the depravation of other areas of San Joaquin County of water that has previously been available is not considered. Nor are the Statewide economic effects of the alternative proposals adequately considered.*

- c) *It is the belief of this Board that each hydrographic region of California should, to a much greater extent, stand on its own rather than depriving other areas, such as our own, of water that they need. As just one example, the desalinization of sea water or brackish water should be considered.*
- d) *The historic opposition of this County to the Peripheral Canal should be reasserted. This Board is unalterably opposed to any isolated facility transporting water from the Sacramento River directly to the State and Federal export pumps.*
- e) *The Draft EIS/EIR continues to assume the use of large quantities of stored Stanislaus River water to dilute the quality of the San Joaquin River and to meet fishery requirements. This is unacceptable to this Board and results in a violation of the Area of Origin Law.*
- f) *A solution to the overdrafted Eastern San Joaquin County Groundwater Basin is a matter, not only of concern to this County, but to the entire State of California, in view of the agricultural productivity of the area and the significant population residing within the area. The CALFED Program should consider a specific component to address the needs of Eastern San Joaquin County.*
- g) *While the Draft EIS/EIR mentions the existing Watershed and Delta Protection Acts and Area of Origin Laws, it fails to meaningfully consider the application of these Laws to the alternatives proposed.*
- h) *The Draft EIS/EIR anticipates significant conversion of Delta lands to non-agricultural use. This Board is absolutely opposed to the proposed conversion. In any event, the economic impacts to the individuals involved and to the San Joaquin County economy must be evaluated. Those impacts would be very significant."*

A lengthy discussion followed with questions being directed to Mr. Pulver and to Public Works Director Prima regarding the various points contained in the draft resolution.

On motion of Mayor Sieglock, Johnson second, the City Council directed staff to prepare documentation indicating the City Council's support of the eight points listed in the draft San Joaquin County Board of Supervisor's resolution, and the concerns expressed at the regular Council meeting of May 20, 1998 by City of Lodi staff as it pertains to the White Slough Water Pollution Control Facility, namely:

- a) Financial assistance should be offered to those agencies required to meet higher discharge standards due to this program.
- b) The Water Quality Program appears to encourage higher levels of treatment for wastewater effluent, thereby maintaining flow and improving water quality; while the State's Region 5 Basin Plan encourages land disposal of effluent, thereby decreasing flows. The two plans should be reconciled clearly.

The motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members - Johnson, Land, Pennino and Sieglock (Mayor)
Noes: Council Members - None
Absent: Council Members - Mann

Mayor Sieglock, Council Member Johnson and Public Works Director Prima discussed City representation at the CALFED hearing to be held in Stockton on May 27, 1998, and the City's representative will make a presentation regarding the City Council's direction in this matter.

Continued May 26, 1998

3. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the City Council, Mayor Sieglock adjourned the meeting at approximately 7:50 a.m.

ATTEST:

Alice M. Reimche
City Clerk